Inorganic Chemistry

Heterobimetallic MOFs Containing Tetrathiocyanometallate Building Blocks: Pressure-Induced Spin Crossover in the Porous {Fe^{ll}(pz) $[Pd''(SCN)₄]$ 3D Coordination Polymer

Francisco J. Muñoz-Lara, † Zulema Arcís-Castillo, † M. Carmen Muñoz, ‡ J. Alberto Rodríguez-Velamazán, $^{\$}$ Ana B. Gaspar, † and José A. Real*, †

†Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol), [Un](#page-5-0)iversidad de Valencia, C/Catedrático José Beltrán Martínez 2, 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain

‡Departamento de Física Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n, E-46022, Valencia, Spain §
Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón (ICMA), CSIC−Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [Here we desc](#page-5-0)ribe the synthesis, structure, and magnetic properties of two related coordination polymers made up of self-assembling Fe(II) ions, pyrazine (pz), and the tetrathiocyanopalladate anion. Compound ${Fe(MeOH)_2[Pd(SCN)_4]}$ ·pz (1a) is a two-dimensional coordination polymer where the $Fe(II)$ ions are equatorially coordinated by the nitrogen atoms of four $[{\rm Pd(SCN)_4}]^{2-}$ anions, each of which connects four Fe(II) ions, forming corrugated layers ${Fe[Pd(SCN)_4]}_{\infty}$. The coordination sphere of $Fe(II)$ is completed by the oxygen atoms of two $CH₃OH$ molecules. The layers stack one on top of each other in such a way that the included pz molecule establishes strong hydrogen bonds with the coordinated methanol molecules of adjacent layers. Compound ${Fe(pz)[Pd(SCN)_4]}$ (2) is a three-dimensional porous coordination polymer formed by flat ${Fe[Pd(SCN)_4]}_{\infty}$ layers pillared by the pz ligand. Thermal analysis of 1a shows a clear desorption of the two coordinated CH₃OH molecules giving a rather stable phase (1b), which presumably is a polymorphic form of

2. The magnetic properties of the three derivatives are typical of the high-spin Fe(II) compounds. However, compounds 1b and 2, with coordination sphere $[FeN₆]$, show thermal spin crossover behavior at pressures higher than ambient pressure (10⁵ MPa).

INTRODUCTION

Metallosupramolecular chemisty has witnessed an impressive development during the last two decades. Self-assembly of metal ions with preferred coordination geometries and bridging ligands exhibiting desired distributions of donor atoms has afforded a huge number of predictable metal−organic frameworks, which span from discrete zero-dimensional (0D) highnuclearity polyhedral complexes to one- (1D), two- (2D), or three-dimensional (3D) coordination polymers (CP). In this context, porous CP's have attracted much interest because of their potentially useful properties in gas storage, molecular separation, and catalysis.¹

Implementation of porous CP's with interesting physical properties (optical,² m[ag](#page-5-0)netic,³ charge transport,⁴ etc.) has given rise to a new generation of multifunctional porous materials able to ex[pr](#page-5-0)ess their [ho](#page-6-0)st−guest interacti[on](#page-6-0)s in terms of physicochemical changes in a sensory way. A particularly well-suited approach to this end can be achieved assembling iron(II) ions and suitable bridging ligands able to induce spin crossover behavior (SCO). It is well known that SCO materials can be switched between the high-spin state and the low-spin state in a reversible, detectable, and controllable way by the action of external stimuli like temperature, pressure, light irradiation, intense magnetic field, and even analytes. This is

usually accompanied by drastic changes in the magnetic properties (paramagnetism-diamagnetism), optical properties (color), and structure.⁵

The first reported porous CP featuring SCO properties is $[Fe(tvp)_{2}(NCS)_{2}]$ ·Me[O](#page-6-0)H [tvp (also referred as bpe or dpe) is the bis(pyridyl-2-yl)ethylene]. This compound is constituted of perpendicular interpenetration of two infinite series of layers generating large square channels.6 The influence of host−guest interactions on the spin state was investigated in detail for the closely related compound $[Fe(azpy)_{2}(NCS)_{2}]$ $[Fe(azpy)_{2}(NCS)_{2}]$ $[Fe(azpy)_{2}(NCS)_{2}]$ ·nSolvent (L = $4.4'$ -azopyridine).⁷ More recently this study has been extended to similar $[Fe(L)_{2}(NCS)_{2}]$ ·nSolvent compounds where L is 2,3-bis(4'-pyridyl[\)-](#page-6-0)2,3-butanediol (bpbd), 8 4'-pyridyl)-1,2-ethanediol (bped),⁹ and 1,2-bis(4'-pyridyl)ethane (bpe).¹⁰ The SCO properties of these materials can [be](#page-6-0) tuned playing with the nature of [th](#page-6-0)e adsorbed solvents as well as conv[en](#page-6-0)iently decorating the walls of the pores. However, in general, the spin crossover behavior shown by these compounds is not especially cooperative, most probably due to the length and inherent flexibility of the organic ligands.

Received: July 30, 2012 Published: September 25, 2012

ACS Publications

The search for more rigid spin crossover compounds with stronger cooperative properties has afforded a new class of 3D porous CP's formulated ${Fe(pz)[M^{\text{II}}(CN)_4]}$ (pz = pyrazine, M^{II} = Ni, Pd, Pt)¹¹ derived from the well-known Hofmann clathrates and particularly inspired in the nonporous 2D SCO $CP's \{Fe(py)[M^{\text{II}}(CN)_4]\}$ $CP's \{Fe(py)[M^{\text{II}}(CN)_4]\}$ $CP's \{Fe(py)[M^{\text{II}}(CN)_4]\}$ (py = pyridine).^{11,12} At variance with all previous SCO compounds, these new CPs were constituted of bridging metalloligand anions $[M^{\mathrm{II}}(CN)_4]^{2-1}$. This strategy has successfully been extended to other cyanometallate complexes such as $[M^I(CN)_2]^ (M^I = Cu,$ Ag, Au), $[Cr(CN)_{6}]^{3-}$, and $[Nb(CN)_{8}]_{4}^{13}$

The SCO compounds ${Fe(pz)[M^{\text{II}}(CN)_4]}$ show strong cooperative SCO behavior characterize[d](#page-6-0) by a 25 K wide hysteresis loop centered at room temperature, which confer to the solid a bistable character. They form a 3D pillared-layertype porous framework consisting of cyano-bridged Fe(II)M- (II) layers and pz pillar ligands. These compounds act as true switchable molecular rotators since the rotational frequency of the pz pillars strongly depends on the spin state of the framework.¹⁴ They adsorb various guest molecules. A bimodal reversible change of spin state at the Fe(II) sites was observed concomita[ntl](#page-6-0)y with the uptake of guest molecules switching between the high-spin state (HS, yellow) stabilized by hydroxylic solvents, five- and six-membered aromatic molecules, and the low-spin state (LS, red-brown) stabilized by CS_2 (for $M = Pt$)¹⁵ or CH₃CN (for $M = Ni$)¹⁶ at 298 K. In the framework, guest molecules can interact with the pyrazine pillar ligands and t[he](#page-6-0) M(II) centers. In particula[r,](#page-6-0) the coordinatively unsaturated Pt(II) centers enhance chemisorptive uptake of dihalogen molecules involving associative oxidation of Pt(II) to $Pt(IV)$ and reduction of the dihalogen to the corresponding halide. This happens with dramatic effects on the critical temperatures of the spin transition.¹⁷

Larger organic pillars such as $L = \alpha zpy$, bpac, and tvp have been used to get n[ew](#page-6-0) SCO frameworks ${Fe(L)[M^{II}(CN)_4]}$ with enhanced pore size able to store larger molecules such as phenazine, anthracene, or $1,3,5$ trichlorophenol.¹⁸ As a part of this investigation, we have also been investigating new alternatives to Hofmann clathrates based on [su](#page-6-0)bstitution of the tetracyanometallate anion for new types of metalloligand building blocks with similar structural functionality. As a first step in this direction, we chose the tetrathiocyanopalladate anion $[\text{Pd}^{\text{II}}(\text{SCN})_4]^{2-}$. To our knowledge, only a few reports deal with heterometallic complexes made up of $[\rm M^{II}(SCN)_4]^{2-}$ $(M^{II} = Pd, Pt)$ and in general with thiocyanometallate complexes. For example, a series of CP's of formula $[Ag^{\hat{1}}_xCu^I_y(SCN)_{x+y}(pyridine)]^{19}$ and $[Cat]_2[\{Cu (NCS)$ ₃WS₄]²⁰ have been reported. Similarly, some metal– orga[n](#page-6-0)ic CPs constituted of M^I-crown ether complexes (M^I = Na, K) and $[M^{II}(SCN)_4]^{2-} (M^{II} = Pd, Pt)^{21}$ or $[Ir(NCS)_6]^{3-}$, 22 Mn^{II}-pyrazol,²³ or Ni^{II}-, Mn^{II}-, and Cu^{II}-imidazole building blocks linked by $[\text{Hg(SCN)}_4]^{2-}$ have be[en](#page-6-0) reported.²⁴

Here we [des](#page-6-0)cribe the crystal structures of the 2D-CP ${Fe(MeOH)_2[Pd(SCN)_4]}$ $pz(1a)$ and porous 3[D-C](#page-6-0)P {Fe- $(pz)[Pd(SCN)₄]$ (2) obtained by assembling Fe(II) ions pz and $[M^{II}(SCN)_4]^{2-}$ bridging ligands. Desolvation of 1a affords a new derivative 1b, which seems to be a polymorphic variation of 2. These compounds are high spin at all temperatures; however, 1b and 2 undergo thermal-induced SCO behavior at pressures higher than 0.5 GPa.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. Pyrazine, $K_2[Pd^{\text{II}}Cl_4]$, KNCS, and Fe(BF₄)₂·6H₂O were purchased from commercial sources and used as received.

Preparation. Synthesis of $K_2[Pd^{\prime\prime}(\text{SCN})_4]$. This salt was synthesized as described elsewhere.^{25a}

Synthesis of 1a. Compound 1a was synthesized by slow diffusion in 10 mL H-shaped vesse[ls.](#page-6-0) A methanol−water (1:1) solution (0.5 mL) containing a mixture of $Fe(BF_4)_2.6H_2O$ (0.03 mmol), pz (0.06 mmol), and a few crystals of ascorbic acid to prevent partial oxidation of iron(II) in iron(III) was poured in one arm of the H-shaped vessel, which was previously purged with argon. In the opposite arm a methanolic solution (0.5 mL) of $K_2[Pd^H(SCN)₄]$ (0.024 mmol) was poured. Then, the vessel was filled with a methanol−water (1:1) solution. Deep-red (almost black) single crystals of 1a were formed over a period of 4 weeks. Anal. Calcd for $\rm C_{10}H_{12}N_6O_2S_4FePd$ (1a): C, 22.29; H, 2.24; N, 15.60. Found: C, 22.36; H, 2.15; N, 15.44. Yield: ca. 20%. EDAX (energy-dispersive X-ray analysis) calcd for 1a: Fe, 50%; Pd, 50%. Found: Fe, 49.8%; Pd, 50.2%.

Preparation of 1b. Compound 1b was prepared by thermal decomposition of compound 1a at ca. 390 K. Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_4N_6S_4FePd$ (1a): C, 20.24; H, 0.85; N, 17.70. Found: C, 20.35; H, 0.97; N, 17.81. Yield: ca. 100%. EDAX calcd for 1b: Fe, 50%; Pd, 50%. Found: Fe, 49.8%; Pd, 50.2%.

Synthesis of 2. Compound 2 was synthesized by slow diffusion in 10 mL H-shaped vessels. An acetonitrile solution (0.5 mL) containing a mixture of $Fe(BF_4)_2.6H_2O$ (0.06 mmol), pz (0.06 mmol), and a few crystals of ascorbic acid to prevent partial oxidation of iron(II) in iron(III) was poured in one arm of the H-shaped vessel, which was previously purged with argon. In the other arm an aqueous solution (0.5 mL) of $\text{K}_2[\text{Pd}^{\text{II}}(\text{SCN})_4]$ (0.047 mmol) was poured, and then the vessel was filled with acetonitrile. Orange single crystals of 2 were formed after ca. 4 weeks. Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_4N_6S_4FePd (2): C$, 20.24; H, 0.85; N, 17.70. Found: C, 20.19; H, 0.92; N, 17.56. Yield: ca. 10%. EDAX calcd for 1b: Fe, 50%; Pd, 50%. Found: Fe, 49.9%; Pd, 50.1%.

Magnetic Measurements. Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements were recorded with a Quantum Design MPMS2SQUID susceptometer equipped with a 5.5 T magnet, operating at 1 T and temperatures from 1.8 to 300 K. The susceptometer was calibrated with $(NH_4)_2Mn(SO_4)_2·12H_2O$. The hydrostatic pressure cell made of hardened beryllium bronze with silicon oil as the pressure-transmitting medium operates in the pressures range 10^5 Pa < P < 1.2 GPa (accuracy ca. ± 0.025 GPa). Cylindrically shaped powder sample holders with dimensions of 1 mm in diameter and 5−7 mm in length were used. Pressure was measured using the pressure dependence of the superconducting transition temperature of a built-in pressure sensor made of high-purity tin.² Experimental susceptibilities were corrected for diamagnetism of the constituent atoms by use of Pascal's constants.

TGA Analysis. TGA measurements were performed on a Mettl[er](#page-6-0) Toledo TGA/SDTA 851e in the 300−700 K temperature range in nitrogen atmosphere with a rate of 10 K/min.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction. Single-crystal X-ray data of 1a and 2 were collected at 120 and 230 K, respectively, on a Nonius Kappa-CCD single-crystal diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation ($λ = 0.71073$ Å). A multiscan absorption correction was performed. Structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 using SHELXL-97.²⁷ Except for compound 2, non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions refin[ed](#page-6-0) using idealized geometries (riding model) and assigned fixed isotropic displacement parameters.

■ RESULTS

Synthesis. Highly insoluble microcrystalline powders resulted when water solutions of $K_2[M(SCN)_4]$ (M = Pd, Pt) were added into methanolic solutions containing Fe(II)−pz (1:1). In order to obtain structural information about these precipitates, we prepared single crystals from slow diffusion of Fe(II):pz (1:2) (in methanol) and $K_2[Pd(SCN)_4]$ (in water). Under these conditions dark-red (almost black) crystals of 1a were exclusively obtained. In this compound, the $Fe(II)$ ion is coordinated to two axial methanol molecules instead of coordinating the pz molecule, thereby affording a 2D CP (vide infra). To avoid this situation, the Fe(II)−pz solutions were prepared in CH₃CN, keeping the water solution of $K_2[M(SCN)_4]$. Then, single crystals of 2 were obtained. Thermogravimetric analysis shows that 1a loses 2 molecules of MeOH in the temperature range 350−394 K with subsequent stabilization of a phase in the interval 394−598 K, which we call compound 1b (Supporting Information Figure S1). Formation of this phase was also monitored through evolution of the unit cell parameters [of single crystals of](#page-5-0) 1a heated up to 370 K in a N_2 stream. During this process, the angles α , β , and particularly γ approached to 90 $^{\circ}$, suggesting the occurrence of a structural change from triclinic P−1 to tetragonal P4/m. However, we were unable to confirm this transformation since the crystals deteriorate during the process. Despite the loss of crystallinity of the resulting 1b compound, powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns obtained from collections of single crystals of 1a, heated in similar conditions, showed the presence of a series of peaks which do not match those of 2, indicating that 1b and 2 are not isostructural (Supporting Information Figure S2). Similar PXRDs were recorded from the direct precipitation method and when single crystals of 1a [were ground a](#page-5-0)t room temperature, suggesting that 1b can also be generated by means of a mechanochemical reaction.²⁸

Crystal Structures. Crystal Structure of 1a (120 K). Compound 1a crystallizes in [the](#page-6-0) P−1 triclinic space group. Relevant crystallographic data are displayed in Table 1. A selection of significant bond distances and angles is shown in Table 2. The Fe(II) site is in an inversion center situated at the middle of a compressed octahedron defined by the $[FeN_4O_2]$

 ${}^{a}R_{1} = \sum ||F_{o}|| - |F_{c}||/\sum |F_{o}|;$ $wR = [\sum [w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}]/\sum [w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]]^{1/2}.$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (mP)^2 + nP]$, where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$; $m = 0.0494$ (1a) and 0.0636 (2); $n = 0.0000$ (1a) and 1.5874 (2).

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths [Angstroms] and Angles [degrees] for 1a and 2

	1a, 120 K	2, 230 K
$Fe-N(1)$	2.152(4)	2.222(11)
$Fe-N(2)$	2.131(4)	2.115(6)
$Fe-O(1)$	2.105(3)	
$Pd-S(1)$	2.3307(11)	
$Pd-S(2)$	2.3384(11)	
$Pd-S$		2.330(2)
$N(1) - Fe - N(2)$	88.11(14)	
$N(1) - Fe - O(1)$	88.99(14)	
$N(2) - Fe-O(1)$	89.4(2)	
$S(1) - Pd - S(2)$	87.61(4)	

chromophore (Figure 1a, left). Equatorial Fe−N bonds [Fe− $N(1) = 2.152(4)$ Å and Fe– $N(2) = 2.131(4)$ Å] belong to four $[Pd(SCN)₄]$ ^{2−} groups, [w](#page-3-0)hile the axial positions are occupied by two molecules of methanol (Fe–O(1) = 2.105(3) Å). These bond lengths clearly suggest that the Fe(II) atom is in the highspin state in agreement with the magnetic measurements. The bond angles of the $[FeN_4O_2]$ octahedron are close to 90° (deviations are in the range 0.6−1.9°). As expected, the molecule of MeOH is tilted defining an Fe−O(1)−C(3) angle of $125.2(3)$ °. The NCS moieties slightly deviate from linearity (ca. 4°), and the Fe–N–C(S) linkages are bent by ca. 6.7°.

The Pd(II) atom lies in an inversion center defining an almost regular square planar [PdS₄] chromophore (Figure 1a, right). The Pd–S bond distances and angles are Pd–S(1) = 2.3307(11) Å and Pd–S(2) = 2.3384(11) Å and S(1)–Pd– $S(2) = 87.61(4)$ °, respectively. The Pd–S–C linkage sh[ow](#page-3-0)s significant deviation from linearity (74° on average). Furthermore, the C−N moieties are out the average plane defined by the $[PdS_4]$ unit defining angles of ca. 47° and 17.5° for $S(2) - C(2)N(2)$ and $S(1) - C(1)N(1)$, respectively. Each terminal N atom links a distinct Fe(II) atom in such a way that two $[FeN₄O₂]$ octahedrons are below and the other two above the $[PdS_4]$ average plane (see Supporting Information Figure S3). Each Fe(II) ion is coordinated by four $[Pd(SCN)_4]^{2-}$ groups, and each $[\mathrm{Pd(SCN)}_{4}]^{2-}$ [group coordinates four](#page-5-0) Fe(II), forming a corrugated 2D polymer (Figure 2a) The layers stack along the [110] direction. Two consecutive layers are separated from each other by a distance of 6.260 Å, [m](#page-4-0)easured from the average plane defined by the Fe(II) ions belonging to the respective layers. This space is partially filled by an uncoordinated molecule of pz per Fe(II) ion. The N atoms of the pz molecule point toward the O atoms of two methanol molecules belonging to two consecutive layers establishing a hydrogen-bond network; the $O(1)\cdots N(3)$ distance is 2.747(5) Å.

Crystal Structure of 2 (230 K). Compound 2 crystallizes in the P4/m tetragonal space group. Relevant crystallographic data are displayed in Table 1. A selection of significant bond distances and angles is shown in Table 2. The Fe(II) atom defines an elongated $[FeN_6]$ octahedron with the axial positions occupied by the N atoms of the pz ligand [Fe− $N(1) = 2.222(11)$ Å] and the equatorial coordination positions occupied by the N atoms of four $[{\rm Pd(SCN)_4}]^{2-}$ anions $[{\rm Fe}-]$ $N(2) = 2.115(6)$ Å] related by the C_4 axis, which contains the N(1)−Fe−N(1)# axial bonds (Figure 1b, left). The Fe−N(2)− $C(2)(S)$ moiety is significantly less bent (2.6°) than observed for $1a$. The $[Pd(SCN)_4]^{2-}$ group [de](#page-3-0)fines a strictly regular square planar geometry imposed by the symmetry of the crystal

Figure 1. Fragment of the structure of 1a (120 K) (a) and 2 (230 K) (b) with a view of the coordination sphere of the Fe(II) and Pd(II) cations with labeling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 60% (1a) and 50% (2) probability level.

[Pd–C bond length = 2.330(2) Å, S–Pd–S bond angle = 90°] (Figure 1b, right). Furthermore, in contrast to 1a, the SCN groups are exactly in the same plane defined by the $[PdS_4]$ chromophore, thereby generating flat $[FePt(SCN)_4]_{\infty}$ layers spreading on the (110) plane. These layers are pillared by bridging pz ligands which connect the Fe(II) ions of consecutive layers along [001] direction, thus defining a 3D porous network (Figure 2b) . The separation between two consecutive layers is $c = 7.2010(7)$ Å. Consequently, the framework defines $a \times b = 8.6730(11) \times 8.6730(11)$ $a \times b = 8.6730(11) \times 8.6730(11)$ $a \times b = 8.6730(11) \times 8.6730(11)$ Å² crosssectional square channels running along the [100] and [010] directions. The available unit cell volume, equal to 200 \AA ³ $(36.9%)²⁹$ is apparently not occupied by solvent molecules.

Magnetic Properties. Figure 3a and 3b show the magnetic properti[es](#page-6-0) of 1b and 2, respectively, in the form of the product of $\chi_{\rm M}T$ vs T at various external [pre](#page-5-0)ssur[es](#page-5-0) $(\chi_{\rm M}$ is the magnetic susceptibility per mole of compound, and T is the temperature). The magnetic properties of 1a have been investigated only at atmospheric pressure since it was not expected to observe SCO behavior in the interval of pressures commonly investigated for $[FeN₆]$ chromophores. The thermal dependence of $\chi_M T$ for this compound is that of an octahedrally distorted Fe(II) ion in the $S = 2$ ground state (Supporting Information Figure S4). The crystal structure of 1a confirms that this compound remains in the high-spin state [at 120 K.](#page-5-0)

At 10^5 Pa (1 bar), the magnetic behavior of 1b and 2 is that [of](#page-5-0) [an](#page-5-0) [Fe\(II\)](#page-5-0) ion in the $S = 2$ high-spin state with noticeable orbital contribution. The χ_{M} T value at room temperature is 3.47 and 3.36 cm^3 K mol⁻¹ for 1b and 2, respectively. This behavior remains essentially constant down to 100 K, then $\chi_{\rm M}T$ decreases most probably due to the combined effects of spin− orbit coupling and deviation of the octahedral symmetry of the $\text{[FeN}_6\text{]}$ chromophore. At 0.44 GPa, the thermal dependence of $\chi_{\rm M}$ T denotes the occurrence of an incomplete spin state change in the temperature range ca. 200−54 K for 1b. This change involves ca. 22% of high-spin to low-spin spin state change. A similar behavior was observed for 2 at 0.28 GPa; however, because of the smaller applied pressure the spin state change is more moderate. At higher pressures the spin state transformation becomes more evident for both compounds. For example, at 0.5−0.6 GPa a transformation of ca. 52% is achieved for 1b and 2, while at around 0.9 GPa the residual paramagnetism at ca. 50 K indicates a transformation of ca. 75% and 64% for 1b and 2, respectively. As expected, the observed $T_{1/2}$ temperature increases as pressure increases, $T_{1/2}$ (0.9 GPa) $= 195$ (1b) and 147 K (2).

■ DISCUSSION

This work was undertaken aiming at synthesizing a new series of porous CPs able to exhibit host−guest chemistry and SCO behavior. The choice of the $[M(SCN)_4]^{2-}$ anion was inspired by our precedent results based on the Hofmann clathrates formulated ${Fe(pz)[M(CN)₄]}$. It was expected to get similar 3D CPs with larger channels and enhanced structural flexibility by replacing the cyanide groups by thiocyanate groups. The solvent-accessible void for 2 has been estimated to

Figure 2. (a) Stacking of three consecutive layers 1a (120 K) showing the enclathrated pz molecules. Thin red lines represent hydrogen bonds between pz and MeOH molecules. (b) Extended crystal structure 2 (230 K) displaying large channels running along the [100] and [010] directions.

be 36.9% of the unit cell volume, which is ca. 61% larger than that found for ${Fe(pz)[M(CN)₄]}$ in the high-spin state (M = Pt, Pd; both derivatives are strictly isostructural).^{15a}

Although there are a few reports dealing with the study of 1D chain crown ether complexes of Na $^+$ and K $^+$ with ${\rm [M(SCN)_4]}^{2-}$ ${\rm [M(SCN)_4]}^{2-}$ ${\rm [M(SCN)_4]}^{2-}$ $(Pd, Pt)²¹$ compounds 1a and 2 represent, to the best of our knowledge, the first bimetallic metal−organic frameworks made up of $[\text{Pd}(\text{SCN})_4]^{2-}$ building blocks and a transition metal ion. Both compounds are clearly related to each other since 1a can be considered, formally, as a precursor of 2. Apparently, the coordinated solvent molecules preclude the pz molecules from coordinating the Fe(II) to form compound 2 (or a related

polymorph) directly. The pz molecules remain stabilized, forming intense hydrogen bonds with the hydroxo groups of two MeOH molecules coordinated to two Fe(II) ions of consecutive layers. It is worth noting that no homologous example has been reported for the Hofmann clathrates ${Fe(pz)[M(CN)₄]}$ ·G. This situation is more common for simple first-row isothiocyanato CPs with formula ${M^{II}(L)}$ - $(Solvent)_{2}(NCS)_{2}$ ¹. Where L is 4,4′-bipy, azpy, or tvp and M^{II} = Mn(II), Fe(II), or Co(II). In these compounds, one L ligand acts as a bridge forming ${M^{II}(L)(Ssolvent)₂(NCS)₂}_{\infty}$ chains while two trans solvent molecules (Solvent = MeOH, H_2O) and two trans NCS[−] groups complete the coordination sphere

Figure 3. Thermal dependence of the $\chi_{\rm M}T$ product at several pressures for 1b (top) and 2 (bottom).

of M(II). The chains are linked to each other via strong hydrogen bonds, generated between the hydroxo group of the coordinated solvent and the N atoms of the uncoordinated L ligand, forming corrugated layers.³⁰ Interestingly, transformation of these self-assembled 1D CPs into ${M^{II}(4,4'bipy)₂(NCS)₂}$ (M = [Mn](#page-6-0)(II), Fe(II), Co(II)) 2D CPs takes place through cooperative topochemical ligand substitution of the coordinated solvent molecules by the uncoordinated L ligand by means of controlled thermal decomposition in the solid state.^{30c,d,f} A similar reaction was reported for transformation of the 2D SCO CP system ${Fe^{II}(pyrimidine)}_2(H_2O)_2[M^I(CN)_2]_2\}·H_2O$ ($M^I = Ag$, Au) into the 3D framework $\{Fe^{II}(pyrimidine)[M^{I}(CN)_2]_2\}$. This reversible desolvation−solvation process is accompanied by remarkable reversible changes in the electronic state of the $Fe(II)$ ion.³¹

The title compounds seem to be related to each other in the same way. [S](#page-6-0)tabilization of the phase 1b strongly suggests a concerted topochemical ligand substitution of the coordinated MeOH molecules by the uncoordinated pz molecules and probably formation of a polymorphic variant of 2. Observation of thermal-induced SCO behavior at relatively low pressures (from 10^5 HPa to 10 GPa) strongly supports complete transformation of 1a into a new material made up of $[FeN_6]$ coordination centers (1b), a fact which is in line with the conjecture of ligand substitution of MeOH by pz mentioned above. The SCO behavior of 1b and 2 is quite similar but not identical, for example, the inflection point of the SCO curves, and hence $T_{1/2}$ are observed at slightly higher temperatures for 1b. Furthermore, at low temperatures the residual paramagnetism is larger for 2 than for 1b. These differences further support the hypothesis of generation of a distinct polymorph (1b) of 2. This conjecture is consistent with the remarkable linkage flexibility exhibited by the Pd−NCS moiety deduced from the structures of 1a and 2. It is worth noting that, in this particular example, the CN-bond stretching mode characteristic of the NCS group seems to be insensitive to this flexibility. Indeed, the IR spectrum of 1a, 1b, and 2 features a sharp doublet absorption centered at about 2120 cm[−]¹ [1a, 2126 and 2112 cm^{-1} ; 1 $\hat{\textbf{b}}$, 2127 and 2114 cm^{-1} ; 2, 2128 and 2115 cm^{-1}].

■ CONCLUSION

Here we have shown that the tetrathiocyanopaladate anion is an appropriate building block to obtain new extended 2D and 3D coordination polymers with first-row transition metal ions. Since our prime interest was to synthesize new porous CP with SCO properties, we have chosen the Fe(II) ion as a suitable component of the nodes of the resulting metal−organic framework. Self-assembly of Fe(II), pz, and $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{SCN})_4]^{2-}$ has afforded the desired 3D CP with similar structural characteristics to those reported for the well-known homologous Hofmann clathrates Fe(pz)[M(CN)₄] (M = Ni, Pd, Pt). In contrast, the porous compounds 2 and 1b are HS at all temperatures at 1 bar due to the weaker ligand field generated by the NCS groups. However, they display gradual SCO properties at pressures higher than ca. 0.3 GPa. Substitution of Pd by Pt and pz by other related bismonodentate ligands affords similar CPs; this work is currently under study.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Thermal analysis (1a), PXRD patterns (1a, 1b, and 2), extended crystal structure of 1a (120 K), and thermal dependence of magnetic behavior (1a); CIF files of 1a (120 K) and 2 (230 K). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR [INFORMATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jose.a.real@uv.es.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no co](mailto:jose.a.real@uv.es)mpeting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICINN) (presently Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad) and FEDER funds (CTQ2010-18414, CSD2007-00010, and MAT2011-27233-C02-02) and the Generalitat Valenciana through PROMETEO/2012/049 and ACOMP2012/233 projects.

■ REFERENCES

(1) See, for example: (a) Das, M. C.; Xiang, S.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10510. (b) Batten, S. R.; Neville, S. M.; Turner, D. R. Coordination Polymers: Design, Analysis and Application; RSC Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2009. (c) Kitagawa, S.; Kitaura, R.; Noro, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2334. (d) Kitagawa, S.; Matsuda, R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 2490. (e) Férey, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 191. (f) Czaja, A. U.; Trukhan, N.; Mueller, U. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1284 and references therein. (2) (a) Rocha, J.; Carlos, L. D.; Almeida Paz, F. A.; Ananias, D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 926. (b) Wang, C.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 7, 2034. (c) Liu, D.; Huxford, R. C.; Lin, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.

2011, 50, 3696. (d) Stylianou, K. C.; Heck, R.; Chong, S. Y.; Bacsa, J.; Jones, J. T. A.; Khimyak, Y. Z.; Bradshaw, D.; Rosseinsky, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4119. (e) Xie, Z.; Ma, L.; de Krafft, K. E.; Jin, A.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 922.

(3) (a) Maspoch, D.; Ruiz-Molina, D.; Veciana, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 770. (b) Dechambenoit, P.; Long, J. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 3249.

(4) (a) Avendano, C.; Zhang, Z.; Ota, A.; Zhao, H.; Dunbar, K. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6543. (b) Shimomura, S.; Kitagawa, S. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 5537. (c) Shimomura, S.; Yanai, N.; Matsuda, R.; Kitagawa, S. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 172. (d) Shigematsu, A.; Yamada, T.; Kitagawa, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2034. (e) Bureekaew, S.; Higuchi, S. M.; Kawamura, T.; Tanaka, D.; Yanai, N.; Kitagawa, S. Nat. Mater. 2009, 8, 831.

(5) See for example: (a) Goodwin, H. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1976, 18, 293. (b) Gütlich, P. *Struct. Bonding (Berlin)* **1981**, 44, 83. (c) König, E.; Ritter, G.; Kulshreshtha, S. K. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 219. (d) Hauser, A. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1995, 17, 17. (e) Kö nig, E. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 1991, 76, 51. (f) Gütlich, P.; Hauser, A.; Spiering, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2024. (g) Sato, O. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 692. (h) Real, J. A.; Gaspar, A. B.; Niel, V.; Muñ oz, M. C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 236, 121. (i) In Topics in Current Chemistry; Gütlich, P.; Goodwin, H. A. Eds.; 2004, Vols. 233− 235. (j) Real, J. A.; Gaspar, A. B.; Muñoz, M. C. *Dalton Trans*. **2005**, 2062. (k) Halcrow, M. A. Polyhedron 2007, 26, 3523. (l) Nihei, M.; Shiga, T.; Maeda, Y.; Oshio, H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 2606. (m) Gamez, P.; Sánchez-Costa, J.; Quesada, M.; Aromí, G. Dalton Trans. 2009, 7845. (n) Halcrow, M. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 253, 2493. (o) Olguin, J.; Brooker, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 203. (p) Bousseksou, A.; Molnár, G.; Salmon, L.; Nicolazzi, W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 3313.

(6) Real, J. A.; Andrés, E.; Muñoz, M. C.; Julve, M.; Granier, T.; Bousseksou, A.; Varret, F. Science 1995, 268, 265.

(7) Halder, G. J.; Kepert, C. J.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K. S.; Cashion, J. D. Science 2002, 298, 1762.

(8) (a) Neville, S. M.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K. S.; Kepert, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2059. (b) Neville, S. M.; Halder, G. J.; Chapman, K. W.; Duriska, M. B.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K. S.; Kepert, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 12106.

(9) Neville, S. M.; Halder, G. J.; Chapman, K. W.; Duriska, M. B.; Southon, P. D.; Cashion, J. D.; Letard, J. F.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K. S.; Kepert, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2869.

(10) Halder, G. J.; Chapman, K. W.; Neville, S. M.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K. S.; Letard, J. F.; Kepert, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17552.

(11) Niel, V.; Martinez-Agudo, J. M.; Muñ oz, M. C.; Gaspar, A. B.; Real, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 3838.

(12) Kitazawa, T.; Gomi, Y.; Takahashi, M.; Takeda, M.; Enomoto, M.; Miyazaki, A.; Enoki, T. J. Mater. Chem. 1996, 6, 119.

(13) (a) Muñ oz, M. C.; Real, J. A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 2068. (b) Papanikolaou, D.; Margadonna, S.; Kosaka, W.; Ohkoshi, S.; Brunelli, M.; Prassides, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8358. (c) Ohkoshi, S.; Imoto, K.; Tsunobuchi, Y.; Takano, S.; Tokoro, H. Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 564.

(14) Rodríguez-Velamazán, J. A.; González, M. A.; Real, J. A.; Castro, M.; Muñ oz, M. C.; Gaspar, A. B.; Ohtani, R.; Ohba, M.; Yoneda, K.; Hijikata, Y.; Yanai, N.; Mizuno, M.; Ando, H.; Kitagawa, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5083.

(15) (a) Ohba, M.; Yoneda, K.; Agustí, G.; Muñ oz, M. C.; Gaspar, A. B.; Real, J. A.; Yamasaki, M.; Ando, H.; Nakao, Y.; Sakaki, S.; Kitagawa, S. Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. 2009, 48, 4767. (b) Boldog, I.; Gaspar, A. B.; Martínez, V.; Pardo-Ibáñez, P.; Ksenofontov, V.; Bhattacharjee, A.; Gütlich, P.; Real, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6443.

(16) Neville, S. M.; Halder, G. J.; Chapman, K. W.; Duriska, M. B.; Southon, P. D.; Cashion, J. D.; Létard, J. F.; Moubaraki, B.; Murray, K. S.; Kepert, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 130, 2869.

(17) (a) Agustí, G.; Ohtani, R.; Yoneda, K.; Gaspar, A. B.; Ohba, M.; Sánchez-Royo, J. F.; Muñoz, M. C.; Kitagawa, S.; Real, J. A. *Angew*. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8944. (b) Ohtani, R.; Yoneda, K.; Furukawa,

S.; Horike, N.; Kitagawa, S.; Gaspar, A. B.; Muñ oz, M. C.; Real, J. A.; Ohba, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8600.

(18) (a) Agustí, G.; Cobo, S.; Gaspar, A. B.; Molnár, G.; Moussa, N. O.; Szilágyi, P. Á.; Pálfi, V.; Vieu, C.; Muñoz, M. C.; Real, J. A.; Bousseksou, A. Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 6721. (b) Bartual-Murgui, C.; Ortega-Villar, N. A.; Shepherd, H. J.; Muñoz, M. C.; Salmon, L.; Molnár, G.; Bousseksou, A.; Real, J. A. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 7217. (c) Bartual-Murgui, C.; Salmon, L.; Akou, A.; Ortega-Villar, N. A.; Shepherd, H. J.; Muñ oz, M. C.; Molnar, G.; Real, J. A.; Bousseksou, A. ́ Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 507. (d) Muñoz-Lara, F. J.; Gaspar, A. B.; Muñ oz, M. C.; Arai, M.; Kitagawa, S.; Ohba, M.; Real, J. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 8013.

(19) Krautscheid, H.; Emig, N.; Klaasen, N.; Seringer, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 3071.

(20) Potvin, C.; Manoli, J.-M.; Sécheresse, F.; Marzak, S. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 4370.

(21) (a) Li, D. C.; Li, Z. X.; Dou, J. M.; Wang, D. Q. J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem. 2003, 46, 83. (b) Li, X.; Dou, J. M.; Liu, Y.; Zhuc, L. Y.; Zhenga, P. J. Acta Crystallogr. 2000, C56, 1185.

(22) Ilyukhin, A. B.; Petrosyants, S. P. Russ. J. Coord. Chem. 2010, 36, 559.

(23) Machura, B.; Palion, J.; Switlicka, A.; Mrozinski, J.; Kruszynski, R. Polyhedron 2011, 30, 2499.

(24) Jian, F. F.; Xiao, H. L.; Liu, F. Q. J. Solid State Chem. 2006, 179, 3695.

(25) (a) Mawby, A.; Pringle, G. E. J. Chem. Soc. D: Chem. Commun. 1970, 385. (b) Rohde, J. U.; von Malottki, B.; Preetz, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2000, 626, 905.

(26) Baran, M.; Dyakonov, V. P.; Gladczuk, L.; Levchenko, G. G.; Piechota, S.; Szymczak, G. Physica C 1995, 241, 383.

(27) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112.

(28) Braga, D.; Grepioni, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4002. (29) Spek, A. L. PLATON: A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool;

Utrecht University: Utrecht, The Nederlands, 2010. (30) (a) Moliner, N.; Muñ oz, M. C.; Real. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 1999, 2, 25. (b) Noro, S. I.; Kondo, M.; Ishii, T.; Kitagawa, S.; Matsuzaka, H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 1569. (c) Lu, J.; Paliwala, T.; Lim, S. C.; Yu, C.; Nju, T.; Jacobson, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 923. (d) Li, M. X.; Xie, G. H.; Gu, Y. D.; Chen, J.; Zheng, P. J. Polyhedron 1995, 14, 1235. (e) De Munno, G.; Armentano, D.;

Poerio, T.; Julve, M.; Real, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999,

^{1813. (}f) Wriedt, M.; Sellmer, S.; Näther, C. Dalton Trans. 2009, 7975. (31) Niel, V.; Thompson, A. L.; Muñ oz, M. C.; Galet, A.; Goeta, A. E.; Real, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3760.